PHY 604: Computational Methods in Physics and Astrophysics II Homework #1 Due: 09/21/2023

Programs can be written in any language (but python is recommended), In addition to the program, you should have a writeup that contains the plots requested in the homework questions, answers to any analytical or explanation questions, and a short description of your code and how to run it. This can be done in, e.g., ET_FX , markdown, etc. Combining the code and writeup in jupyter notebooks is highly recommended.

Code and writeup should be submitted using git via github in the repo that was created from github classroom link.

1. *Understanding roundoff error*: (this is essentially Newman exercise 4.2) Consider a quadratic equation of the form $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$. The two solutions of this are:

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \tag{1}$$

An alternate expression that gives the same two roots is:

$$x = \frac{2c}{-b \mp \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \tag{2}$$

Understanding how roundoff error works (especially when subtracting two close numbers), and using either or both of these expressions, write a code that gives accurate roots for a quadratic equation for any input.

Test with *a* = 0.001, *b* = 1000, and *c* = 0.001.

2. Accurate calculation of the exponential series: Recall that in class we discussed computing the series expansion for the exponential function:

$$e^x \simeq S(x) = 1 + \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$
 (3)

Write a program that computes the exponential function using the series expansion that is accurate for all values of *x*, especially relatively large negative numbers (within the bounds of double precision; depending on the code you use, you may have to check for overflows).

3. Comparing methods of integration: (based on Newman exercise 5.7) Consider the function:

$$I = \int_0^1 \sin^2\left(\sqrt{100x}\right) dx.$$
 (4)

- (a) Plot the integrand over the range of the integral.
- (b) Write a program that uses the *adaptive trapezoid rule* to calculate the integral to an approximate accuracy of $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$, using the following procedure: Start with the trapezoid rule using a single subinterval. Double the number of subintervals and recalculate the integral. Continue to double the number of subintervals until the error is less than 10^{-6} . Recall that the error is given by $\epsilon_i = \frac{1}{3}(I_i I_{i-1})$ where the number of subintervals N_i used to calculate I_i is twice that used to calculate I_{i-1} . To make your implementation more efficient, use the fact that

$$I_{i} = \frac{1}{2}I_{i-1} + h_{i}\sum_{k}f(a+kh_{i})$$
(5)

where h_i is the width of the subinterval for the *i*th iteration, and *k* runs over *odd numbers* from 1 to $N_i - 1$.

(c) Write a separate program that uses *Romberg integration* to solve the integral, also to an accuracy of 10^{-6} using the following procedure. First calculate the integral with the trapezoid rule for 1 subinterval [as you did in part (b)]; we will refer to this as step i = 1, and the result as $I_1 \equiv R_{1,1}$. Then, calculate $I_2 \equiv R_{2,1}$ using 2 subintervals (make use of Eq. 5). Using these two results, we can construct an improved estimate of the integral as: $R_{2,2} = R_{2,1} + \frac{1}{3}(R_{2,1} - R_{1,1})$. In general

$$R_{i,m+1} = R_{i,m} + \frac{1}{4^m - 1} (R_{i,m} - R_{i-1,m}).$$
(6)

Therefore, for each iteration *i* (where we double the number of subintervals), we can obtain improved approximations up to m = i - 1 with very minor extra work. For each *i* and *m*, we can calculate the error at previous steps as

$$\epsilon_{i,m} = \frac{1}{4^m - 1} (R_{i,m} - R_{i-1,m}).$$
 (7)

Use Eqs. 6 and 7, to iterate until the error in $R_{i,i}$ is less than 10^{-6} . How significant is the improvement with respect to number of subintervals necessary compared to the approach of part (b)?

(d) Use the Gauss-Legendre approach to calculate the integral. What order (i.e., how many points) do you need to obtain an accuracy below 10⁻⁶? You can find tabulated weights and points online, e.g.,

https://pomax.github.io/bezierinfo/legendre-gauss.html.

4. *Integration to* ∞ : (based on Newman). Consider the gamma function,

$$\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx \tag{8}$$

We want to evaluate this numerically, **and we will focus on** a > 1. Consider a variable transformation of the form:

$$z = \frac{x}{x+c} \tag{9}$$

This will map $x \in [0, \infty)$ to $z \in [0, 1]$, allowing us to do this integral numerically in terms of *z*.

For convenience, we express the integrand as $\phi(x) = x^{a-1}e^{-x}$.

- (a) Plot $\phi(x)$ for a = 2, 3, 4.
- (**b**) For what value of *x* is the integrand $\phi(x)$ maximum?
- (c) Choose the value *c* in our transformation such that the peak of the integrand occurs at z = 1/2—what value is *c*?

This choice spreads the interesting regions of integrand over the domain $z \in [0, 1]$, making our numerical integration more accurate.

(d) Find $\Gamma(a)$ for a few different value of a > 1 using and numerical integration method you wish, integrating from z = 0 to z = 1. Keep the number of points in your quadrature to a reasonable amount ($N \leq 50$).

Don't forget to include the factors you pick up when changing dx to dz.

Note that roundoff error may come into play in the integrand. Recognizing that you can write $x^{a-1} = e^{(a-1) \ln x}$ can help minimize this.