## PHY 604: Computational Methods in Physics and Astrophysics II Homework #5 Due: Nov. 28, 2023

Programs can be written in any language, In addition to the program, you should have a writeup that contains the plots requested in the homework questions, answers to any analytical or explanation questions, and a short description of your code and how to run it. This can be done via, e.g.,  $ET_EX$ , markdown, jupyter notebooks, etc.

*Code and writeup should be submitted using* git *via github in the repo that was created from the* github classroom *link*.

- 1. Relaxation methods for the Poisson equation (based on Garcia) Write a program that solves the twodimensional Poisson equation in a square geometry with the Dirichlet boundary conditions  $\Phi = 0$ at the boundaries using one of the relaxation methods discussed in class (i.e., Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, or SOR). Map the potential for a single charge at the center of the system. Compare with the potential for a charge in free space (recall that a point charge in 2D is equivalent to a line charge in 3D).
- 2. Stability analysis (based on Garcia) In class we discussed two methods for assessing the stability of PDEs, von Neumann stability analysis and matrix stability analysis. In this problem, we will explore these approaches on a variety of explicit/implicit schemes. Note: Several parts of this problem do not require writing any programs.
  - (a) Consider the leapfrog scheme for solving the advection equation introduced in question 3(b) of Homework 4:

$$\frac{a_i^{n+1} - a_i^{n-1}}{2\tau} = -c \frac{a_{i+1}^n - a_{i-1}^n}{2h}.$$
(1)

Use the von Neumann stability analysis to show that the method is stable only if  $\tau \leq h/|c|$ .

(b) The Lax scheme for the advection equation with periodic boundary conditions may be written as

$$\mathbf{a}^{n+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{C} - \frac{c\tau}{2h}\mathbf{B}\right)\mathbf{a}^n \equiv \mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}^n \tag{2}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0}^{n} \\ a_{1}^{n} \\ a_{2}^{n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{N-1}^{n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Demonstrate that the matrix stability analysis gives by  $\tau \le h/|c|$  by plotting the spectral radius versus time step of **A**.

3. Radioactive decay chain (Based on Newman exercise 10.2) The isotope <sup>213</sup>Bi decays to a much more stable isotope <sup>209</sup>Bi via one of two different routes, with probabilities and half-lives shown in Fig. 1. Starting with a sample consisting of 10,000 atoms of <sup>213</sup>Bi, simulate the decay of atoms over 20,000 s using a time slices of 1 s. Hint: Start from the lower decay processes (<sup>209</sup>Pb →<sup>209</sup> Bi) and work your way up.



Figure 1: Decay paths from <sup>213</sup>Bi to <sup>209</sup>Bi.